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Abstract

 The BIER WG charter includes work on developing "a mechanism to use

 BIER natively in IPv6". There have been several proposed solutions

 in this area. But there hasn't been a document which describes the

 problem and lists the requirements. The goal of this document is to

 describe the BIER IPv6 requirements, summarize the encapsulation

 modes of the proposed solutions, guide the working group in

 understanding the benefits and drawbacks of the various solutions,

 and help in the development of acceptable solutions.

Status of This Memo

 This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the

 provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

 Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering

 Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute

 working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-

 Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

 Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months

 and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any

 time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference

 material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

 This Internet-Draft will expire on January 29, 2021.
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1. Introduction

 Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER) [RFC8279] is an architecture

 that provides optimal multicast forwarding, without requiring

 intermediate routers to maintain per-flow state, through the use of a

 multicast-specific BIER header. [RFC8296] defines two types of BIER

 encapsulation to run on physical links: one is BIER MPLS

 encapsulation to run on various physical links that support MPLS, the

 other is non-MPLS BIER Ethernet encapsulation to run on ethernet

 links, with an ethertype 0xAB37. This document describes using BIER

 in non-MPLS IPv6 environments. We explain the requirements of

 transporting IPv4/IPv6 multicast payloads through an IPv6 network

 using "BIER natively in IPv6". As clarified in the working-group,

 "BIER natively in IPv6" means BIER not encapsulated in MPLS or

 Ethernet. This may include native IPv6 encapsulation and generic

 IPv6 tunnelling. The goal of this document is to help the BIER WG

 evaluate the BIER v6 requirements and solutions in order to begin

 adopting solution drafts.

1.1. Requirements Language

 The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",

 "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this

 document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

1.2. Terminology

 o BIER: Bit Index Explicit Replication. Provides optimal multicast

 forwarding through adding a BIER header and removing state in

 intermediate routers.

 o BUM: Broadcast, Unknown Unicast, Multicast. Term used to describe

 the three types of Ethernet modes that will be forwarded to

 multiple destinations

2. Problem Statement

 The problem is the ability of the network to transport BUM packets,

 with BIER headers, in an IPv6 environment. In many IPv6 network

 deployments, non-MPLS encapsulation is used for unicast as the data-

 plane. It is likewise expected to have BIER IPv6 deployments which

 depend on these same unicast technologies to traverse through non-BFR

 routers.
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 One such case involves supporting a non-BFR router in a network as

 described in section 6.9 of RFC8279. In the context of this

 document, an IPv6 based unicast tunnel is needed to support such

 deployment where a non-BFR exists. Another case is to support inter-

 AS multicast deployment as illustrated in

 [I-D.geng-bier-ipv6-inter-domain]. In such deployment, there are

 non-BFR routers, or even an entire non-BIER network, that needs the

 ability to traverse from one BFR to another.

 [I-D.ietf-bier-use-cases] shows it is possible there are other cases

 where inter-AS multicast deployment is required.

 As with IPv6, another problem of BIER IPv6 technology may be

 "Transition Mechanisms and Partial Deployments" which is listed as

 the No.1 charter item of BIER WG. Therefore, a basic requirement of

 BIER IPv6 is to leverage IPv6 reachability for incremental and inter-

 AS BIER deployment.

 Below is a simple scenario that needs BIER IPv6 encapsulation and

 forwarding:

 +--------------------------------------------+

 | |

 | +------+

 | | BFER |

 +------+ +-------+ +-----+ +------+

 | BFIR | |Non-BFR| | BFR | |

 +------+ +-------+ +-----+ +------+

 | | BFER |

 | IPv6 Network +------+

 | (intra-AS or inter-AS) |

 +--------------------------------------------+

 +---------------------------------------+

 | |

 | +------+

 | | BFER |

 +------+ IPv6 +------+

 | BFIR | |

 +------+ Network +------+

 | | BFER |

 | +------+

 | |

 +----------------------------------------+

This scenario depicts the need to replicate bier packets from a BFIR

 to BFERs across an IPv6 core. The IPv6 environment may include a

 variety of link types, may be entirely IPv6, may be dual stack or any

 type of combination which includes IPv6. Regardless of the

 environment, there are times when a BIER header, including the BIER

 BitString used to determine the set of BIER forwarding egress

 routers, will need to traverse a IPv6 domain. The ways in which BIER

 will function in an IPv6 environment is the problem that needs to be

 solved.

3. Conceptual Models For BIER IPv6 Encapsulation and Forwarding

 This analysis introduces two conceptual models for BIER IPv6

 encapsulation and forwarding based on the experience and examples

 that have been seen in the IETF community.
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3.1. Transport-Independent Model

 The first conceptual model is a Transport-Independent Model that

 views IP tunnels as links of BIER, and views BIER as an independent layer

 .

 |<----------(L2.5 BIER(P2MP) Tunnel)-------->|

 | |

 | +~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~+ +~~~~+ |

 | / \ / \ |

 +------+ +-------+ +-----+ +------+

 | BFIR |-------|Non-BFR|-------| BFR |--------| BFER |

 +------+ +-------+ +-----+ +------+

 ------- physical link

 ~~~~~~~ IPv6(P2P) tunnel

 <-----> BIER(P2MP) tunnel

 In this model, an IPv6 tunnel works as a link-layer of BIER, and BIER

 works as a transport-independent layer over a virtual-

 link (IPv6 tunnel). On each BFR, the IPv6 tunnel of the receiving

 packet is decapsulated, and a new IPv6 tunnel is encapsulated before

 sending the packet to the next-hop BFR neighbour.

 From the view of the IPv6 layer, the BIER header is a kind of Upper-

 layer header (Layer-4). From the view of the BIER layer, the IPv6

 encapsulation is a tunnel working as a "link" of BIER. With an End-

 to-End view, the tunnel from BFIR to BFERs is a Layer-2.5 BIER (P2MP)

 tunnel, and the BFIR-id is the BIER packet source-origin identifier,

 and is unchanged through the BIER domain from BFIR to BFERs.

 This model is similar to the "MPLS over IP" [RFC4023] or "MPLS over

 UDP" [RFC7510] approach. A more general output of such approach in

 IETF is "MPLS Segment Routing over IP" [RFC8663]. It makes use of

 IPv4/IPv6 tunnel, IPv4/IPv6 UDP tunnel and IPv4/IPv6 GRE tunnel to

 encapsulate the MPLS-based instructions. In fact, BIER-MPLS could

 use this approach directly since BIER-MPLS is based on MPLS.

 There may be, however, in certain cases some difficulty with

 allocation of an MPLS label and advertisement through the control-

 plane. For example, a simple inter-AS BIER deployment may want to

 use the auto-configuration of BIFT-id using Non-MPLS BIER

 encapsulation [RFC8296] as illustrated in

 [I-D.geng-bier-ipv6-inter-domain]. This brings the need of a new

 "Next Header" value to indicate the "Non-MPLS" BIER header.
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 For IPv4/IPv6 GRE, the "Next Header" is the 16-bit "Protocol Type"

 field, and has adequate space for such requirement.

 For IPv4/IPv6 UDP, the "Next Header" is the 16-bit "Destination Port"

 field, and has adequate space for such requirement.

 For IPv4/IPv6, the "Next Header" is a 8-bit value and needs to be

 allocated from the "Assigned Internet Protocol Numbers" registry.

 Reassembly/Re-fragmentation of a packet has to be executed on each

 BFR in such case. This may be common and even friendly for a

 protocol stack in a BFR software implementation, but it may impose

 cost for a BFR hardware implementation.

 IPv6 functions that are expected to be executed from BFIR to BFER are

 assumed to be broken on the BFRs, for example, IPv6 Fragmentation/

 Assembly or IPSEC ESP. This is because the "IPv6 tunnel" and all its

 functions is "terminated" on the BFRs. These functions, if desired,

 may need to be re-designed in the "Layer-2.5" BIER mode.

 For deployment security, it is necessary to ensure the "BIER" packet

 is only using the allowed IPv6 tunnel.

3.2. Native IPv6 Model

 The second conceptual model is a Native IPv6 Model that integrates

 BIER as part of the IPv6 data plane, making it a "Layer-3 BIER"

 approach.

 |<----------(L3 BIER(P2MP) tunnel)--------->|

 | |

 +------+ +-------+ +-----+ +------+

 | BFIR |-------|Non-BFR|-------| BFR |--------| BFER |

 +------+ +-------+ +-----+ +------+

 ------- physical link

 <-----> BIER(P2MP) tunnel

 In this model, BIER works as part of the IPv6 data plane. BFIR and

 BFERs work as IPv6 (P2MP) tunnel endpoints, and BFRs work as IPv6

 segment endpoints. On each BFR, the segment endpoint behaviour of

 IPv6 data plane is executed, and there is no decapsulation of

 receiving IPv6 tunnel and encapsulation of new IPv6 tunnel for

 sending.

 In this mode, the BIER header is integrated into the IPv6 extension

 header and processing of the BIER header (e.g., the BitString) is
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 implemented as part of the IPv6 extension header processing. The

 IPv6 source address is the BIER packet source-origin identifier, and

 is unchanged through the BIER domain from BFIR to BFERs.

 This model is similar to many examples emerging in the IETF community

 which soley use the IPv6 data plane. SRv6 introduced in [RFC8754]

 and [I-D.ietf-spring-srv6-network-programming] is an example. The

 benefits of such approach includes reducing the number of

 encapsulation layers, capability of deployment with non-capable

 routers in a network, extending the technology in a wider inter-AS

 scope using IP reachability, and capability of integrating the

 functions of the IPv6 data plane.

 This model typically needs an extension to IPv6 data plane, with an

 IPv6 extension header or Option introduced.

 IPv6 functions that are expected to be executed from BFIR to BFER is

 supported if correctly designed, for example, IPv6 Fragmentation/

 Assembly or IPSEC ESP.

 For deployment security, it is necessary to ensure the "BIER" packet

 is in a trusted IPv6-based domain.

3.3. Encapsulation Approaches Considered

 A number of approaches to the design of BIER-IPv6 encapsulation were

 investigated by the BIER Working Group and were discussed in IETF

 meetings and on the BIER list. This section divides these approaches

 into the two conceptual models.

 Transport-Independent Model approaches include:

 Transport-Independent BIER [I-D.xu-bier-encapsulation].

 BIERin6 [I-D.zhang-bier-bierin6].

 Native IPv6 Model approaches include:

 BIER-over-IPv6 [I-D.pfister-bier-over-ipv6].

 BIERv6 [I-D.xie-bier-ipv6-encapsulation].

4. Requirements

 There have been several suggested requirements, on the BIER email

 list and in meetings, which have been used to form BIER IPv6

 requirements used to help the wg evaluate against the proposed
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 solutions. There is also many further discussions on the list about

 BIER IPv6 requirements from different scenarios.

 Considering that the importance of requirement for BIER IPv6 solution

 is different, in this document, the requirements are divided into two

 groups: mandatory and optional. The requirements in the mandatory

 group are considered necessary for any BIER IPv6 solution, while the

 requirements in the optional group should be considered but are not

 mandatory.

4.1. Mandatory Requirements

4.1.1. L2 Agnostic

 The solution must be agnostic to the underlying L2 data link type.

 The solution needs to support P2P ethernet links as well as shared

 media ethernet links without requiring the LAN switch to perform BIER

 snooping.

4.1.2. Support BIER architecture

 The solution must support the BIER architecture.

 Multiple sub-domains bound to one or many topologies or algorithms,

 multiple sets for more BFERs, multiple Bit String Lengths for

 different forwarding capabilities, and multiple BIFTs for ECMP are

 considered essential functions of BIER and need to be supported.

4.1.3. Conform to existing IPv6 Spec

 The proposed encapsulation must conform to the IPv6 specification and

 guidelines as described in RFC8200. If new extensions to existing

 IPv6 specification are required, it needs to be discussed and

 reviewed by the 6man working-group.

4.1.4. Support deployment with Non-BFR routers

 The solution must support deployments with Non-BFR routers. This is

 beneficial to the deployment of BIER, especially in early deployments

 when some routers do not support BIER forwarding but support IPv6

 forwarding. This is also the No.1 charter item, "Transition

 Mechanisms and Partial Deployments" of the BIER WG.

4.1.5. Support inter-AS multicast deployment

 Inter-AS multicast support is needed for ease of provisioning the

 P2MP transport service to enterprises. This could greatly increase
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 the scalability of BIER, as it is usually considered to be suitable

 only for small intra-AS scenarios.

4.1.6. Support Simple Encapsulation

 The solution must avoid requiring different encapsulation types. A

 solution needs to do careful trade-off analysis and select one

 encapsulation as its proposal for best coverage of various scenarios.

4.1.7. Support Deployment Security

 The proposed solution must include careful security considerations,

 including all that is already considered in BIER architecture RFC8279

 and RFC8296, and other security concerns that may raise due to the

 addition of IPv6.

4.2. Optional Requirements

4.2.1. Support MVPN

 The solution MAY support MVPN services that is defined in [RFC6513].

 When MVPN is supported, it should work in a "tunnel" mode,

 encapsulating IP or IPv6 multicast packet in an outer IPv6 header.

 When MVPN is supported, it is suggested to think about both intra-AS

 and inter-AS deployment.

4.2.2. Support OAM

 BIER OAM MAY be supported, either directly using existing method, or

 specify some variant method for the same function. It may be

 considered essential as part of the BIER architecture in some cases.

4.2.3. Support IPSEC

 IPSEC is optional to IPv6 and multicast. It is preferred to support

 IPSEC, including AH/ESP. If IPSEC is to be supported, it shouldn't

 require hop-by-hop encryption/decryption.

4.2.4. Support Fragmentation

 As part of IPv6 specification [RFC8200], BIER IPv6 may support

 fragmentation on BFIR and assembly on BFER. Support of Fragmentation

 can enhance the capability of BIER leveraging the BIER-MTU as

 introduced in section 3 of [RFC8296]. If Fragmentation is to be

 supported, it shouldn't require fragmentation and re-assembly at each

 hop.
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4.2.5. Support hardware fast path

 If a proposed solution is intended for some scenarios like service-

 provider networks, it should enable the processing and forwarding of

 BIER packets in hardware fast path.

5. IANA Considerations

 Some BIERv6 encapsulation proposals do not require any action from

 IANA while other proposals require new BIER Destination Option

 codepoints from IPv6 sub-registries, new "Next header" values, or

 require new IP Protocol codes. This document, however, does not

 require anything from IANA.

6. Security Considerations

 There are no security issues introduced by this draft.
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Appendix A. Solutions Evaluation

 The following are solutions that have been proposed to solve BIER in

 IPv6 environments. Some solutions propose encoding while others

 propose encapsulation. It is recommended for the wg to evaluate

 these solutions against the requirements listed previously in order

 to make informed decisions on solution readiness.

 As illustrated in these examples, the BIER header, or the BitString,

 may appear in the IPv6 Header, IPv6 Extension Header, IPv6 Payload,

 or IPv6 Tunnel Packet:

A.1. BIER-ETH encapsulation in IPv6 networks

 +---------------+-----------------+-------------------

 | Ethernet | BIER header | payload

 | (ethType = | (BIFT-id, ...) |

 | 0xAB37) | |

 | | Next Header |

 +---------------+-----------------+-------------------
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 BIER-ETH encapsulation (BIER header for Non-MPLS networks as defined

 in [RFC8296]) can be used to transport the multicast data in the IPv6

 network by encapsulating the multicast user data payload within the

 BIER-ETH header. However, BIER-ETH in IPv6 networks is not

 considered to be a "BIER natively in IPv6" solution which utilizes

 the IPv6 header to forward the packet.

 Mixed use of BIER-ETH in a native IPv6 solution is up to the solution

 and is outside the scope of this document.

A.2. Encode Bitstring in IPv6 destination address

 +---------------+-------------------

 | IPv6 header | payload

 | (BitString in |

 | DA lower bits)|

 | Next Header |

 +---------------+-------------------

 As described in [I-D.pfister-bier-over-ipv6], The information

 required by BIER is stored in the destination IPv6 address. The BIER

 BitString is encoded in the low-order bits of the IPv6 destination

 address of each packet. The high-order bits of the IPv6 destination

 address are used by intermediate routers for unicast forwarding,

 deciding whether a packet is a BIER packet, and if so, to identify

 the BIER Sub-Domain, Set Identifier and BitString length. No

 additional extension or encapsulation header is required. Instead of

 encapsulating the packet in IPv6, the payload is attached to the BIER

 IPv6 header and the IPv6 protocol number is set to the type of the

 payload. If the payload is UDP, the UDP checksum needs to change

 when the BitString in the IPv6 destination address changes.

A.3. Add BIER header into IPv6 Extension Header

 +---------------+-----------------+-------------------

 | IPv6 header | IPv6 Ext header | payload

 | | (BIER header in |

 | | TLV Type = X) |

 | Next Header | Next Header |

 +---------------+-----------------+-------------------

 According to [RFC8200] In IPv6, optional internet-layer information

 is encoded in separate headers that may be placed between the IPv6

 header and the upper- layer header in a packet. There is a small

 number of such extension headers, each one identified by a distinct

 Next Header value. An IPv6 packet may carry zero, one, or more

 extension headers, each identified by the Next Header field of the

 preceding header. Extension headers (except for the Hop-by-Hop

McBride, et al. Expires January 29, 2021 [Page 13]

Internet-Draft BIER IPv6 Requirements July 2020

 Options header) are not processed, inserted, or deleted by any node

 along a packet's delivery path, until the packet reaches the node (or

 each of the set of nodes, in the case of multicast) identified in the

 Destination Address field of the IPv6 header. The Hop-by-Hop Options

 header is not inserted or deleted, but may be examined or processed

 by any node along a packet's delivery path, until the packet reaches

 the node (or each of the set of nodes, in the case of multicast)

 identified in the Destination Address field of the IPv6 header. The

 Hop-by-Hop Options header, when present, must immediately follow the

 IPv6 header. Its presence is indicated by the value zero in the Next

 Header field of the IPv6 header.

 Two of the currently-defined extension headers are the Hop-by-Hop

 Options header and the Destination Options header which carry a

 variable number of type-length-value (TLV) encoded "options".

 In [I-D.xie-bier-ipv6-encapsulation] an IPv6 BIER Destination Option

 is carried by the IPv6 Destination Option Header (indicated by a Next

 Header value 60). It is initialized in a packet sent by an IPv6 BFIR

 router to inform the following BFR routers in an IPv6 BIER domain to

 replicate to destination BFER routers hop-by-hop. BIER is generally

 a hop-by-hop and one-to-many architecture and it is required for a

 BIER IPv6 encapsulation to include the BIER Header ([RFC8296]) as an

 IPv6 Extension Header, to pilot the hop-by-hop BIER replication.

 Hop by hop Options Headers may be considered. The Hop-by-Hop Options

 header is used to carry optional information that may be examined and

 processed by every node along a packet's delivery path. The Hop-by-

 Hop Options header is identified by a Next Header value of 0 in the

 IPv6 header.

 Defining New Extension Headers and Options may also be considered, if

 the IPv6 Destination Option Header is not good enough and new

 extension headers can solve the problem better.

 Such proposals may include requests to IANA to allocate a "BIER

 Option" code from "Destination Options and Hop-by-Hop Options", and/

 or a "BIER Option Header" code from "IPv6 Extension Header Types".

A.4. Transport BIER as IPv6 payload

 +---------------+-----------------+-------------------

 | IPv6 header | IPv6 Ext header | BIER Hdr + payload

 | | (optional) | as IPv6 payload

 | | |

 | Next Header | Next Header = X |

 +---------------+-----------------+-------------------
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 There is a proposal for a transport-independent BIER encapsulation

 header which is applicable regardless of the underlying transport

 technology. As described in [I-D.xu-bier-encapsulation] and

 [I-D.zhang-bier-bierin6], the BIER header, and the payload following

 it, can be combined as an IPv6 payload, and be indicated by a new

 Upper-layer IPv6 Next-Header value. A unicast IPv6 destination

 address is used for the replication and changes when replicating a

 packet out to a neighbor.

 Such proposals may include a request to IANA to allocate an IPv6

 Next-Header code from "Assigned Internet Protocol Numbers".

A.5. Tunnelling BIER in a IPv6 tunnel

 +---------------+-----------------+------------+----------------

 | IPv6 header | IPv6 Ext header | GRE header |

 | | (optional) | | BIER Hdr +

 | | | | payload as GRE

 | Next Header | Next Header | Proto = X | Payload

 +---------------+-----------------+------------+----------------

 A generic IPv6 Tunnel could be used to encapsulate the bier packet

 within an IPv6 domain.

 GRE is a mechanism by which any ethernet payload can be carried by an

 IP GRE tunnel due to the 16-bits 'Protocol Type' field. Both IPv4

 and IPv6 can be used to carry GRE. The Ethernet type codepoint

 0xAB37, defined for BIER, can be used in a GRE header to indicate the

 subsequent BIER header and payload in an IPv6 network.

 +---------------+-----------------+------------+----------------

 | IPv6 header | IPv6 Ext header | UDP header |

 | | (optional) | | BIER Hdr +

 | | | | payload as UDP

 | Next Header | Next Header | DPort = X | Payload

 +---------------+-----------------+------------+----------------

 UDP-based tunnelling is another mechanism which uses a specific UDP

 port to indicate a UDP payload format. Both IPv4 and IPv6 can

 support UDP. Such UDP-based tunnels can be used for BIER in a IPv6

 network by defining a new UDP port to indicate the BIER header and

 payload.

Authors' Addresses

McBride, et al. Expires January 29, 2021 [Page 15]

Internet-Draft BIER IPv6 Requirements July 2020

 Mike McBride

 Futurewei

 Email: michael.mcbride@futurewei.com

 Jingrong Xie

 Huawei

 Email: xiejingrong@huawei.com

 Senthil Dhanaraj

 Huawei

 Email: senthil.dhanaraj@huawei.com

 Rajiv Asati

 Cisco

 Email: rajiva@cisco.com

 Yongqing Zhu

 China Telecom

 Email: zhuyq8@chinatelecom.cn

McBride, et al. Expires January 29, 2021 [Page 16]

Internet-Draft BIER IPv6 Requirements July 2020

 Gyan S. Mishra

 Verizon Inc.

 13101 Columbia Pike

 Silver Spring

 ,

 MD 20904

 United States of America

 Phone:

 301 502-1347

 Email:

 gyan.s.mishra@verizon.com

McBride, et al. Expires January 29, 2021 [Page 17]