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Abstract

 The framework for preparation and comparison of internationalized

 strings ("PRECIS") defines several classes of strings for preparation

 and comparison. Case mapping is defined because

 many protocols perform case-sensitive or case-insensitive string

 comparison and so preparation of the string is mandatory. The Internationalized Domain Names in

 Applications (IDNA) and the PRECIS problem statement describes mappings for

 internationalized strings that are not limited to case, but include width

 mapping and mapping of delimiters and other specials that can be taken

 into consideration. This document provides guidelines for authors of

 protocol profiles of the PRECIS framework and describes several

 mappings that can be applied between receiving user input and passing

 permitted code points to internationalized protocols. The mappings

 described here are expected to be applied as an additional mapping in

 the PRECIS framework.
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1. Introduction

 In many cases, user input of internationalized strings is generated

 through the use of an input method editor ("IME") or through copy-

 and-paste from free text. Users generally do not care about the

 case and/or width of input characters because they consider those

 characters to be functionally equivalent or visually identical.

 Furthermore, users rarely switch the IME state to input special

 characters such as protocol elements. For Internationalized Domain

 Names ("IDNs"), the IDNA Mapping specification [RFC5895] describes

 methods for handling these issues. For PRECIS strings, case mapping

 and width mapping are defined in the PRECIS framework specification

 [I-D.ietf-precis-framework]. Delimiter mapping, special mapping,

 and language dependent mapping, however, are not defined. The handling of mappings

 other than case and width is also important in order to increase the chance that

 strings match as users expect.

 This document provides guidelines for

 authors of protocol profiles of the PRECIS framework and describes

 mappings that can be applied between receiving user input and passing

 permitted code points to internationalized protocols. The mappings

 described in this document are expected to be applied as additional

 mappings in the PRECIS framework.

2. Protocol dependent mappings

 The PRECIS framework defines several protocol-independent mappings.

 The additional mappings defined in this document are protocol-

 dependent, i.e., they depend on the rules for a particular

 application protocol.

2.1. Delimiter mapping

 Some application protocols define delimiters for their own use, resulting in the fact that the delimiters are different for each protocol.

 The delimiter mapping table should therefore be based on a well-

 defined mapping table for each protocol.

 Delimiter mapping is supposed to map compatable delimiter characters to canonical characters. For example, '@' in

 electronic mail addresses or ':' and '/' in URIs have width compatible characters.

 The '+', '-', '<' and '>' may also be classified as delimiter characters that can be mapped to a set of canonical characters. Another example is

 the FULL STOP character (“.”, U+002E) which is a delimiter in the visual

 presentation of domain names. Some IMEs generate semantic or width

 compatible character of FULL STOP such as IDEOGRAPHIC FULL STOP

 (“。”, U+3002) when a user types FULL STOP on the keyboard. Such FULL STOP

 compatible characters need to be mapped to the FULL STOP before

 passing the string to the protocol.

2.2. Special mapping

 Aside from delimiter characters, certain protocols have characters

 which need to be mapped in ways that are different from the rules

 specified in the PRECIS framework (e.g., mapping non-ASCII space

 characters to ASCII space). In this document, these mappings are

 called "special mappings". They are different for each protocol.

 Therefore, the special mapping table should be based on a well-

 defined mapping table for each protocol. Examples of special mapping

 are the following;

 o White spaces are mapped to SPACE (U+0020)

 o Some characters such as control characters are mapped to nothing

 (Deletion)

 As examples, EAP [RFC3748], SASLprep [RFC4013], IMAP4 ACL [RFC4314]

 and LDAPprep [RFC4518] define the rule that some codepoints for the non-

 ASCII space are mapped to SPACE (U+0020).

2.3. Local case mapping

 The purpose of local case mapping is to increase the probability of

 a matching result from the comparison between uppercase and lowercase

 characters, targeting characters whose mapping depends on locale or

 on locale and context.

 As an example of locale and context-dependent mapping, LATIN CAPITAL

 LETTER I (“I”, U+0049) is normally mapped to LATIN SMALL LETTER I

 (“i”, U+0069); however, if the language is Turkish (or one of several

 other languages), unless an I is before a dot\_above, then the

 character should be mapped to LATIN SMALL LETTER DOTLESS I (“ı”, U+0131).

 To solve such problems for PRECIS framework, this document defines

 characters that need local case mapping based on the

 SpecialCasing.txt [Specialcasing] file in section 3.13 of The Unicode

 Standard [Unicode].

 The following are the methods to calculate codepoints that local case

 mapping targets. Here Casefolding() means full casefolding described

 in the CaseFolding.txt file [Casefolding], and Specialcasing() means

 specialcasing described in the SpecialCasing.txt file

 [Specialcasing].

 If Casefolding(Specialcasing(cp)) != Casefolding(cp)

 Then cp is a target

 Else cp is not a target;

 Local case mapping can be selected only when case mapping is selected

 using the PRECIS Framework profile. Application developers should

 calculate the codepoints of local case mapping targets by using the

 latest Casefolding.txt and SpecialCasing.txt. Appendix B "Code

 points list for local case mapping" lists codepoints in Unicode 6.3

 calculated by this method.

3. Order of operations

 The mappings described in this document are expected to be applied as

 additional mappings in the PRECIS framework. The mappings

 described in this document could be applied in any order.

 This section specifies a particular order to minimize the

 effect of codepoint changes introduced by the mappings. This mapping

 order is very general and has been designed to be acceptable to the widest

 user community.

 1. Delimiter mapping

 2. Special mapping

 3. Local case mapping

4. Security Considerations

 As well as Mapping Characters for IDNA2008 [RFC5895], this document

 suggests creating mappings that might cause confusion for some users

 while alleviating confusion in other users. Such confusion is not

 covered in any depth in this document.

5. IANA Considerations

 This document has no actions for the IANA.
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Appendix A. Mapping type list each protocol

A.1. Mapping type list for each protocol

 This table is the mapping type list for each protocol. Values marked

 "o" indicate that the protocol use the type of mapping. Values

 marked "-" indicate that the protocol doesn't use the type of

 mapping.

 +----------------------+-------------+-----------+------+---------+

 | \ Type of mapping | Width | Delimiter | Case | Special |

 | RFC \ | (NFKC) | | | |

 +----------------------+-------------+-----------+------+---------+

 | 3490 | - | o | - | - |

 | 3491 | o | - | o | - |

 | 3722 | o | - | o | - |

 | 3748 | o | - | - | o |

 | 4013 | o | - | - | o |

 | 4314 | o | - | - | o |

 | 4518 | o | - | o | o |

 | 6120 | - | - | o | - |

 +----------------------+-------------+-----------+------+---------+

Appendix B. Code points list for local case mapping

 Followings are a list of characters that need Local case mapping.

 Format:

 <Codepoint>; <Lowercase>; <Language> <Condition>; <Comments>

 <Language> means the alpha-2 codes in [ISO.3166-1].

B.1. Unicode 6.3

 0049; 0069 0307; lt More\_Above; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I

 004A; 006A 0307; lt More\_Above; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER J

 00CC; 0069 0307 0300; lt; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I WITH GRAVE

 00CD; 0069 0307 0301; lt; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I WITH ACUTE

 0128; 0069 0307 0303; lt; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I WITH TILDE
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 012E; 012F 0307; lt More\_Above; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I WITH

 OGONEK

 0307; 0307; lt After\_Soft\_Dotted; # COMBINING DOT ABOVE

 0049; 0131; tr Not\_Before\_Dot; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I

 0130; 0069; tr; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I WITH DOT ABOVE

 0307; ; tr After\_I; # COMBINING DOT ABOVE

 0049; 0131; az Not\_Before\_Dot; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I

 0130; 0069; az; # LATIN CAPITAL LETTER I WITH DOT ABOVE

 0307; ; az After\_I; # COMBINING DOT ABOVE

Appendix C. Change Log

C.1. Changes since -00

 o Modify the Section 4.3 "Local case mapping" to specify the method

 to calculate codepoints that local case mapping targets.

 o Add the Section 6 "Open issues".

 o Modify the Section 7 "IANA Considerations".

 o Modify the Section 8 "Security Considerations".

 o Remove the "The initial PRECIS local case mapping registrations".

 o Add the Appendix C "Code points list for local case mapping".

 o Add the Appendix D "Change Log".

C.2. Changes since -01

 o Unified PRECIS notation in all capital letters as well as other

 documents.

 o Removed the Section 1 "Types of mapping" and the Section 2

 "Protocol independent mapping" because width mapping is now in

 framework document.

 o Added relationship between the framework document and this

 document in the Section 3 "Order of operations".

 o Updated the Section 4 "Open issues" to address new issue raised on

 mailing list.

 o Move the Section 6 "IANA Considerations" after the Section 5

 "Security Considerations".
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 o Remove the Appendix B "Codepoints which need special mapping" and

 mentioned related documents in the Section 2.2 .

C.3. Changes since -02

 o Removed the "Open issues".

C.4. Changes since -03

 o Modify the Section 1 "Introduction" in more clear text.

 o Modify the Section 2.3 "Local case mapping" to clarify the purpose

 of the local case mapping and an example, and add restriction to

 use with PRECIS Framework.

 o Change the format in the Appendix B "Code points list for local

 case mapping".

 o Split the Section 7 "References" into "Normative References" and

 "Informative References"

 o Update the Unicode version 6.2 to 6.3 in this document.

C.5. Changes since -04

 o Correct a sentence in the Section 2.3 "Local case mapping".
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