## **Tunnel End-point Discovery**

draft-palet-v6ops-tun-auto-disc-03.txt

- IPv6-in-IPv4 Tunnel End-point Discovery
  - □ Is this something we have to provide?
    - OA different discussion...
  - ☐ Scope of the Discovery
    - Only in network of the ISP where the user attaches to
      - ▷ "Third party" discovery is out of scope
  - □ Assumptions
    - Must work through a (non-upgraded) NAT/router
    - The user may have his own NAT/router box(es)
    - IP addresses may be private and/or dynamic
  - □ Proposed solutions
    - Well-known unicast address ("anycast") for initial discovery
    - ONS (in forward or reverse tree)
    - OHCP and PPP options
    - OSLP

#### TEP Discovery - anycast

- □ Main properties
  - Well-known v4 unicast address ("anycast")
  - Only for initial discovery of the "real" unicast address
  - Typically would not be advertised in eBGP
- □ Advantages
  - OWorks through NATs, etc. very well
  - Seems to work based on DNS root anycast and 6to4 anycast
- Disadvantages
  - ○ISPs need to be careful in filtering the prefix to prevent hijacks

    ▷Applies to those ISPs who provide the service
  - Routing operations may be more difficult e.g. in enterprises than changing DNS

#### TEP Discovery - forward DNS

- □ Main properties
  - Use of DNS search path to discover \_v6tc.example.com
  - ONS search path learned through DHCP, etc.
- □ Advantages
  - Adding the tunnel server requires just inserting an A record
- □ Disadvantages
  - ONAT boxes w/ DHCP pool have to pass through the search path
  - Forward DNS search path and topology do not always map well
  - Olf no search path, the queries might end up at the root servers

#### TEP Discovery - reverse DNS

- □ Main properties
  - ODefine a new "TEP" record
  - Prepopulate all the IP addresses of potential clients with the record
     ▶"1.2.3.4.in-addr.arpa. IN TEP v6tc.example.com"
  - The clients would look up TEP record of their own IP address
- □ Advantages
  - Maps well to the topology
- □ Disadvantages
  - Assumes prepopulation of the whole IP address space
     ▷ DNS operations pain unless the IP address space mgmt scripts can be modified?
  - Assumes that all RFC1918 space is also prepopulated ▶ and the box is not authorative for RFC1918
  - Olt takes a while to develop a new RR type.

#### TEP Discovery - DHCP or PPP

- □ Main properties
  - ODefine a new DHCPv4 or PPP option to carry the information
- □ Advantages
  - DHCPv4 options are easily defined, "de facto" config method
- Disadvantages
  - ODoes not work through non-upgraded NAT/router boxes
  - Sufficient number of users don't run DHCP or PPP
     ▶ Would have to define multiple options
  - There has been resistance to new PPP options

#### **TEP Discovery - SLP**

- ☐ Main properties
  - Use Service Location Protocol
- □ Advantages
  - Not really any, except the spec is out there...
- Disadvantages
  - Multicast cannot be assumed, so a Directory Agent needed
  - Then, configuring the address of DA is a problem (e.g. DHCP)
    - ▶Back to square one..

- TEP Discovery Summary/Discussion
  - □ If this must work through non-upgraded NAT boxes..
    - DHCP and PPP are non-starters
    - Forward DNS may have issues, are these serious enough?
  - □What's left?
    - Well-known unicast address
    - Reverse DNS prepopulation
    - Manual configuration.. (obviously)
  - □Where to go next?